

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Washington Conservation District FY07 EQIP

## 1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Water Quality  
Nutrient loading of water bodies  
Use exclusion and management  
Feedlots  
Buffers areas  
Declining Wildlife habitat  
Invasive species  
Surface water Runoff  
Sensitive groundwater areas

## 2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Watersheds Adjacent to St. Croix River  
Baytown  
West Lakeland  
Cottage Grove

## 3. From items 1 & 2 above prioritize the local resource concerns to be addressed with EQIP funding for the district. Describe a minimum of 3 categories of the highest priority applications which you would want to receive funding.

### Prioritize Local Resource Concerns

1. Sensitive groundwater areas
2. Surface water Runoff
3. Water Quality
4. Nutrient loading of water bodies
5. Buffers areas
6. Declining Wildlife habitat
7. Feedlots
8. Invasive species
9. Use exclusion and management

## 4. Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of 12 yes/no questions to determine if an application is addressing the high priority concerns described in item 3.

	Question:	Points
1.	<u>Soil Erosion</u> : Will the practice reduce sheet and rill erosion < T?	6
2.	<u>Water Quality</u> : Will the practice reduce nutrient loading, sediment loading or manure impacts to surface water?	6
3.	<u>Water Quality</u> : Is the practice located < 100 ft of receiving water (surface water)?	6
4.	<u>Water Quality</u> : Is the practice located 100 to 500 ft of receiving water (surface water)?	5
5.	<u>Habitat</u> : Will the practice improve riparian habitat?	6
6.	<u>Habitat</u> : Will drained or degraded wetlands be addressed?	5
7.	<u>Water Quality</u> : Does practice filter contaminants that may enter adjacent open waterbodies?	6
	<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>

5. **Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions must equal exactly 40 points.**

Refer to question 4, column 3.

6. **Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.**

Worksheet submitted to Timothy Wilson; ASTC(FO) of Area 4.

7. **List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document.**

None

**The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.**

**This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 07 EQIP. Below is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.**

Jay Riggs	11-06-06
<b>Chair, Local Work Group</b>	<b>Date</b>

**Roster:**

Jay Riggs, SWCD

George Montgomery, NRCS